1948-49 (ML)

File No 139-48
Sl Nos 22, 23

Previous
Refers ML 22-44 (93, 94 95)

P-25-E

Serial Nos Two

Post B for May '49

Subject

Representation of Sri Doddaonuswaamisa protesting against the acquisition of a portion of his premises Nos 46-47 & 48 of Sonnavasa Mandiram Rd II Cross. Bangalore City

To The Compiler. Mysore Gazette
No L 12756/D 4-5-49
Notifies the withdrawal of the acquisition
To The ML Comr. Bangalore City
Memo No L 12757/D 4-5-49

Notes ——— 13 Pages

Comics — 25 Pages

-3554/L

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 194 | [App F III-2

Serial No

Petition from Thiru S Doddaramaswamy, No 28, Basethalai Bangalore City. Requests that the acquisition of his premises Nos 46, 47, 48 on Sreenivasa Mandira Road, by the City Municipality may be dropped, and prays for a stay order.

1) Submitted with a memo calling for a report from the Municipal Commr Bangalore City

for 4/12 2) for orders of a report be called for in the matter from the Municipal Commr, Bangalore City as not necessary to issue a stay order

new req for 4/12/45

3) Minister for Edn & PH 4/12

4/12

16/12/45

15/12

L 5386 17-12-45

15/12/45

Submitted with a draft reminder for approval

16/12

18/12/45

NOTE—Contn Sheet

TYPING SECTION 19 APR 1945 GEN & REV SECTT

GEN & REV SECTION 17 DEC 1945

Date 5 12 45 No 3296

T 9035 35-5-2

File No of 194

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

From the Municipal Town Bangalore City
L-1 C-1571, dated 4-5-46
Furnishes a report on the petition of Messrs Dodda Munisamy Sa re withdrawal from acquisition of property No 46 47 48 in Sreenivasa Mandiram Road, Ist line

2) A letter calling for the original memo is sent up

3) Issued

To The Municipal Town Bore
Calls for the original memo
L 13578 dt 28-5-46

From the Municipal Town Bore
C 1571 dated 1-6-46
Returns the original memo dated 17-12-45

TYPING SECTION
20 MAY 1946
GEN & REV SECTT

Sundar

10) Vide No L 12147/ML2244-46 dated 16-6-1946 Sanction was accorded to the acquisition of a portion of premises Nos, 46 47 & 48 Sreenivasa mandiram Road, Bangalore City, for widening the Road

Ist A.

11) The Messrs Dodda Munisamy Sa, Bros has requested that the above acquisition proceedings

relating to his properties may be disposed on the following grounds

1) The alignment of the entire Srinivasa Nandiram Road has not been taken by the Municipality except the petitioners property in question

2) There is no necessity to widen the Road space in front of his premises, which is 35 feet in width, wherein the road in front of Mr H C Suryanarayana's building (neighbouring building also has) is only 25' in width

3) While forwarding the final declaration, statements for the financial year, in May, 1945 the Municipal town reported that the acquisition of properties in question was for widening the road and the objection raised by the petitioner is not valid

4) The Municipal town has now

74035

Sought orders of Govt on the suggestion of the Municipal Engineer that the acquisition of the bank only and the corresponding land area be taken up for the present and the final being acquired when the proposal to widen the Sreenivasa Mandiram Road matures

1/7 The Chairman of the Municipal Town and of the Municipal Council will be called for

1) It is difficult to understand the present proposal in the absence of a sketch showing the position of the property in respect of the Sreenivasa Mandiram Road and the area proposed to be acquired. Moreover the subsequent widening as now proposed may be altered in order to enable the entire portion being properly appreciated

1/7/46

2) A draft letter is accordingly put up

To DH Chennai
L 320 - d 5-7-46
Calls for a sketch

TYPING SECTION
3 JULY 1946
GEN. & REV SECTT

2/7

1903
L 45-6

1) A draft reminder is put up

for Secretary's
Signature

To

Re Mr Moon
1746Q dt 26-6-47
Reminder

from the Municipal Commr Madras etc
LC c 1577/43 dated 6-10-1944

Take a sketch showing the position
of the Quarters of the Doddanmidanika
and of the Venkatanarayana Road and
the area proposed to be acquired from the
former

2) The history of the case has been explained
at Pages 10 to 14 Ante

3) It is seen from the sketch that the
portion of door No 46 and 46- marked red
in the plan, projecting in front of door No

45 belonging to Mr Hc Suryanarayana Rao, previous Municipal President is proposed to be acquired

21) The Municipal Commissioner has sought orders regarding the acquisition of the bunk and corner abutting land area only at present, and the balance of land area and the pad being acquired when the proposal to widen the Srinivasa Mandiram Road matures

22 It is not however clear why public purpose would be served by acquiring the bunk portion 5'x5' only, even before the ~~Ls~~ Scheme for widening the Road matures This is said to be

23 projecting in front of Mr Suryanarayana Rao property

23) The proposal in para 21 supra is for orders

24) A perusal of the records

11/10 Encl

shows that the acquisition

of the property in question has been started at the instance of Mr. the Suryanarayanadas, a Municipal councillor who owns the property number as 45

(25) The Municipal Engineer, in his report dated 2-3-1946, has submitted a modified proposal to the effect that only the back portion of the corresponding land area may be acquired at present, the remaining area being acquired later on as though the back etc which is just adjacent to Mr Suryanarayana Rao's property would be acquired to satisfy the Municipal Councillor and that there is no need necessary to acquire it for widening the road in that portion of Sundaramurthy Road as there was need to widen the road, ~~ther~~ other properties should also

have been recommended for
acquisition. We feel that this
triple property has been selected for
acquisition after too after many the
Improvements have applied for it clearly
- shows that the representation of the
petitioner that the property is not
required for a public purpose is true
and that it is intended to satisfy only one interest
In this view the Min. it may
perhaps be directed to drop the further
acquisition proceedings in respect
properties bearing Door nos 46. my Rep
c.) for order - representation from
new Secy Min of Ag

21) I agree - There is absolutely
no proper case made out for the
acqn It is also doubtful if
this acqn is intended for
any public purpose at all
as alleged - ~~It~~ A personal
inspection of the spot appears
desirable. I have no time to inspect
Minister may deal with. My successor
Eden & P H Hts

16/10/47

20/10/47 to 25/10/47

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER
FOR EDUCATION
Date 1947
No 2750

2199
14

Subj - Petition from Mr S Doddamunidrawda re: cancellation of acquisition of two fireworks in the Sivanasamudram Road - Bangalore City

By) Resubmitted with reference to orders at Para 28 of the Notes Thereon

2/3 Pr 11-4-18

30) I inspected the spot on the 4th of this month. The road - the bank is proceeding into the road But the acquisition only of the bank will give an impression that it is proposed to be acquired to oblige an individual who was a Dvl candidate, & it is also suggested in the Dvl Commr's letter dated 4-5-18 that for the present only the bank must be taken & not the land on which the bank stands be acquired & that the requisition of the land in Nos 46, 47, 48 be deferred. This is not in accordance with the Resolution of the Dvl Council dated 15-6-17. The file is returned for Dvl's reply to the Dvl Commr's petition on the suggestion of the Dvl Commr.

8/3

10/3

THE IMPERIAL BUNGALOW ASSOCIATION
No. 28
Date 6-11-1918

Acquisition of a portion of premises No 46, 47 & 48 of Sammanagar Road Bhandup

1989 MC 2199

1106

31) D/f. letter pl

to 1/7 Nov

32) Submitted with a draft letter to the Municipal Commr for appl

1 Nov 12 3 48

33) Reminder to 15/11/48

34) Appd

S

15/3/48

35) Appeal to 15/11/48

To The Mll Commr of City

15th inst dt 30-3-48

Calls for further information

Sub A) W/dr

Letter No L/C 1571/43 dated the 29th July 1948 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City Mlty on the subject

36) The Ml Commr states that the Ml Cl have passed a resolution on the recommendation of the City Improvement Committee that the proposed Junction of 5 ft set back on the South of the road be pushed back by two feet so as to be in one continuous width of three feet and the

Representation of Sri Doddaswamaiya protesting against the acquisition of a portion of his premises Nos 46, 47 & 48 of Binnypet Main Road, Bangalore City.

M.L 1106.

acquisition proceedings be dropped and that the matter should be attended to within 7 days' time and otherwise, the acquisition would be proceeded with accordingly, the Deputy Commissioner was moved and the petitioner was advised accordingly. The petitioner has now addressed a letter dated 9/8/48 (Ref- para 30 supra) to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore City, requesting that the matter may be attended to at an early date. The Deputy Commissioner has now forwarded the letter under reference for perusal with reference to para 30 supra. It is therefore requested that the case may be put up at present.

11/8/48

Sir,

Deputy Secretary

38/ Secretary (Revenue & Housing)

14/8

17/9/48

THE MINISTER FOR CITY MUNICIPALITIES AND MUZRAI
No 680
Date 14-8-1948

Representation of the Doddannavaraiya
Pl 7411 for stopping against the acquisition
of a portion of his premises nos
46-47 & 48 of Sunkenahalli Bangalore
Ro II Dr Blore City

Letter No. LIC 15-71/43 dated
the 24th March 1949 from the
Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City,
on the subject

39) The Municipal Commr reports
that the party has set back
the portion of the properties as
per conditions of the resolution
of the Pl Council dated the
3/4th May 1945 and that
no further action is necessary
in this case

40) The Pl Commr further
requests, in this connection
that the enclosed Withdrawal notification
re acquisition proceedings
published in No. L 12150/Pl 22-45-
95 dated 16-6-1945 may be
arranged to be published in the
Gazette. This is for appl

Dst Or 14/4/49

Pl 4/49

13

7411/L

41) The Municipal Comm's letter
dated 24 3 49 is perused
and the draft as noted may be approved

18/4/49







Draft letter as
proposed by
Secretary

21/4

Secretary

Withdrawal application received
on DRL 12756-7, MC 139-48-23
dt 4-5-49 in the Complete
Mysore Gazette dtd 29/4/49 in the
MC Comm Bhimavaram

Forwarded for publication
in Gazette

Dy
Secy
13/5/49





BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE

Petitioner - S Doddamunisamisa, son of Daktappa, residing at No 28 Basettipet, BANGALORE CITY

The petitioner humbly begs to state as follows -

(1) The petitioner is the owner of premises Nos 46, 47 and 48 in Sreenivasa Mandiram Road, II Division, Bangalore City and the same has been acquired by the City Municipality, Bangalore for the alleged public purpose of widening the road at that place The petitioner also submitted his objections to the Municipal Commissioner on 28-2-1945, but without any avail and his application to the Municipal Commissioner has also been rejected

(2) From the plan of the Municipality it is quite clear that except infront of the petitioner's premises it is not shown that any where else in the entire road was there any idea of widening the road It is ~~admitted~~ that there is no proposal to widen the road continuously, but at the particular front which shows that the acquisition is not prompted for the purpose of satisfying a public need From the plan it is quite clear the width of the road opposite the adjoining building of Mr H C Suryanarayana Rao, the Municipal Councillor is only 25 feet and widening of the road at that place is not contemplated Like wise there does not seem to be any idea of widening the road beyond the petitioner's house It is also clear from the Municipality plan that the width -

width

of the road in front of the petitioner's premises is - 35 feet i e 10 feet more than the width of Lr H C Suryanarayana Rao's house without

(3) The Municipality has no idea of widening the road in front of Lr H C Suryanarayana Rao's building which is adjacent where it is only 25 feet If the public purpose do not call for widening of the road in front of the said building, I submit, it does not stand to reason, that there is any necessity to widen the petitioner's premises where it is wider than Lr H C Suryanarayena Rao's building, who is a Municipal Councillor

(4) There are no valid or even good grounds for acquiring any of the petitioner's property as it is clear from the Municipal plan and the Notification At any rate the public purpose referred to in the acquisition does not appear to be correct and Just

(5) The petitioner humbly submits that his application dated 28-2-1945 to the Municipal Commissioner and also further application dated 6-9-1945 with a detailed plan have all been not considered

(6) The petitioner also submits that it is not Just and Fair to acquire only the petitioner's property and does not acquire any thing in front of Lr H C Suryanarayana Rao's building sinee he is a Municipal Councillor

(7) The Municipality is not reasonable in giving such unfair decision on the petitioner's application Even his subsequent application dated 6-9-1945 with a plan has not been fairly considered

(8) AT ANY RATE the public purpose referred to in the acquistion Notification does not appear to be correct and the desired public purpose will not be achieved unless and until the adjoining premises are also acquired where the road is narrower The petitioner respectfully sub-

sub-

submitted that the proceedings for the acquisition of only the petitioner's premises may kindly be - dropped

(3) The petitioner also submits that pending consideration of the application further proceedings of acquisition may also may be ordered to be Stayed as the petitioner has already been served with notice - from the Acquisition Officer The statement has been filed and the acquisition officer is about to take possession of the premises Rq 24-11-45

Bangalore City
Date: 20-11-'45

ಪೆಸ ಯೋಸಮುನಸಾಮಿಸಾ
for (Mohammedan -
Petitioner Advocate)

Memo no. 5386 dated 17-12-45

Forwarded with compliments to the

GL No 4183 Municipal Commissioner Bangalore city
a report on the matter very early.
13-12

In Reverse

Memo

Forwarded to the Special Land Acquisition Officer for report very early

for Municipal Commissioner

No L1 C 1571/45-44 CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICES

NPL 9685 Date 21 3 46

The Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City
Through The President,
City Municipal Council, Bangalore

Sir, I am directed to invite reference to this Office Memo NPL 5386 dated 17th Dec 1945 forwarding for report a petition from Mr S Dodda Nanjaraja Setty regarding the acquisition of the premises No 4, to 48 on Srinivasamandiram Road by the City Municipality and to request you to forward the same very early

I am etc
for
For Secretary to Govt
Rev Dept

No L1 C 1571/45-44.

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICES

Bangalore dated 4th May 1946

FROM

SAFI DARASHAH ESQ B A
Municipal Commissioner
Bangalore City

To

J B Mallaradhya, Esq
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

SIR

Subject - Petition of Mr S Dodda Munisami Sa S/o Daktappa residing at No 28, Basettipet, Bangalore City, addressed to Government requesting that the acquisition proceedings on Door Nos 46, 47 & 48, Srinivasamandiram Road, II Division Bangalore Cit , may kindly be dropped

---

With reference to our memo No L 5686 dated 17-12-45 and subsequent reminder No L 9085/M1 dated 21-3-46 calling for a report on the above subject, I have the honor to state that Mr H C Surjanarayana Rao, Municipal Councillor, wrote a letter to this Office on 23-12-45 requesting to arrange for the removal of the Bunk constructed in front of the Petitioner's premises, referred to above On this reference, the Municipal Engineer was asked to submit a report along with plan and valuation statement if necessary for taking action to acquire the Bunk and the land area Accordingly the Municipal Engineer submitted plan and valuation statement for Rs 634/- and the same was approved by the City Improvement Committee and the Municipal Council at their meetings held on 3-6-44 and 15-6-44

Then the Municipal Council Resolution together with the draft preliminary notification was sent to Government for publication and the same was published in the Gazette under Notification No L 5960/M1 22-44-38 dated 16-12-44, under section 4 & 5a Land Acquisition Act A copy of the notification was communicated to the petitioner for information and in response he filed an objection petition on 28-2-45 protesting the acquisition of the property in question on the ground that the purpose of acquisition was not a public purpose As usual the objection was over looked and the final declaration statement was sent to Government for sanctioning the acquisition

The Government in their order No L 12147-9 M1 22-44-94 dated 16-6-45 sanctioned the acquisition authorising the Special Land Acquisition Officer to take order for the acquisition

In the meanwhile the petitioner appealed to the Municipal Council on 6-9-45, for dropping the acquisition proceedings on certain grounds specified in his petition But the petition was rejected by the Municipal Council held on 21-9-45 and the acquisition was proceeded with, further the Special Land Acquisition Officer passed an award on 11-12-45

The main contention of the petitioner is that the proposed acquisition is not for a public purpose on the following grounds -

1 The alignment of the entire Srinivasa Mandiram Road has not been taken up by the Municipality, except the petitioner's property in question

2 There is no necessity to widen the Road Only just infront of the petitioner's premises, which is 35 ft in width, wherein the road in front of Mr P C Suryanarayana Rao's building (neighbouring building No 45) is only 25 ft in width

On the said objections a detailed report was called for from the Municipal Engineer in Memo No L1 C ~~1111~~ 1571/43 dated 31-1-46 and he subritted the same on 29-3-46 A copy of the report is enclosed herewith for favour of reference

The Municipal Engineer has reported that the properties to be acquired comprise a Bunk and a plal adjoining the house with their respective land areas He has further reported that it has been proposed to widen this road and acquire the property in question at present No other property has been proposed for acquisition as per alignment plan and the portions of buildings coming within the alignment are proposed to be set back when sanctioning licenses etc In this connection he has also suggested the acquisition of the bank and the corresponding land area only at present and the balance of land area and the plal to be acquired when the proposal matures

In the above circumstances I request you to kindly communicate the orders of Government very early in the matter

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

Bsk

Letter No L4 Cl 3429/45-46 dated 29th March 1946 from the Municipal Executive Engineer, Bangalore City Municipality to the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City

---

Reference Memo No L I 15/1/46 dated 31st January 1946

Subject - Petition of Mr D Doddamunisami Sa, addressed to Government requesting that the acquisition proceedings on Door Nos 46, 47 and 48 Sreenivasa Landaram Road, II division, Bangalore City may kindly be dropped

---

With reference to the above forwarding copy of the report No 7/45 dated 24-1-1946 from the Special Land Acquisition Officer Bangalore City to the Municipal Commissioner and requesting to submit a detailed report in the matter, I have the honor to report as under

1) On the requisition of Mr A Suryanarayana Rao, Municipal Councillor in his letter dated 23-12-43 it was decided to arrange for the removal of the bunk under question The City Improvement Committee and the Municipal Council approved of the proposal and sanctioned the acquisition

2) The petitioner Mr Doddamunisami Sa filed an objection petition on 28-2-45, but the objection being over-ruled, the final declaration statement was sent to Government and the final notification was published in the Gazette dated 21-6-45

3) The petitioner appealed to the Municipal Council on 6-9-45, for dropping the acquisition proceedings But the petition was rejected the acquisition was proceeded with awards passed on 11-12-45 and the same was confirmed by the Commissioner on 7-1-1946

4) The petitioner has filed an appeal petition to the Government on 20-11-45 requesting to drop the acquisition proceedings upon which the Government in their Memo No L 5586 of 17-12-45 have called for an early report

5) The properties to be acquired comprise of a bunk and a pial adjoining the house with their respective land areas

6) It has been proposed to widen this road and acquire this property at present No other property has been proposed for acquisition as per alignment plan and the portions of buildings coming within the alignment are proposed to be set back while sanctioning licenses

Under the above circumstances and in view of the fact that the full length of the road is not proposed to be immediately taken up for widening, I have the honor to suggest the following

i) Acquisition of the bunk only and the corresponding land area at present, the balance land area and the pial to be acquired when the proposal matures

ii) Issue of a notice to the party not to put up any construction in the pial area, as it may be required for widening the road and that if he puts in any

any structure he will be doing so at his own risk

(iii) Information to the Licensing Section not to permit any construction over the area

The entire connected file is herewith resubmitted

" COPY "

Municipal Commissioner

Bsk

9

L 13256 dt 28 5 46

To

The Municipal Town
Bangalore City

petitioner Mrs Doddamuniswamy
Sa requesting that the acquisition
proceedings in respect of his property
in Srinivasamandiram Road be dropped

Sir,

With reference to your letter
No. Lc C 1571/43 dated 4-5-46, I
am directed to request you to
represent this office memo no L5386
dated 17-12-45 on the subject.

I have the
honour
to be
Sir
Your most obedient
servant

10

Bangalore City Municipality

No L1 C 1571/45-44
Encl 1 Petition

MUNICIPAL OFFICES
Bangalore 1st June 1946

FROM

SAFI DARASHAH ESQ B A
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE CITY

J B MALLARADHYA, Esq , M A ,
Secretary to the Government,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

SIR

Subject - Acquisition of a portion of Door Nos 46, 47 & 48, Sreenivasamandiram Road, II Division, Bangalore City, for widening the Road

---

As desired in your letter No L 13256 dated 28-5-1946, I have the honor to send herewith your Office memo No L 5386 dated 17th December 1945 calling for a report on the above subject

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

Bsk

L 320 d 5 7 46 II

To The Municipal Town
Bangalore city

Sub: Petition received from Mrs Doddala Munisamma re cancellation of the acquisition proceedings in respect of Door Nos 46, 47 & 48, Sreenivasa Mandiram Road, II Division, Blore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L/C 1571/43-44, dated 4-5-46, on the above subject, I am directed to request you to forward a sketch showing the position of the premises

Of the petitioner and of Rev H. Sunderam Narayana Rao, and the area proposed to be acquired from the former and the subsequent modifications as now proposed in order to enable the entire portion being properly appreciated

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,

Jas Reverdy

L 17469 26/6/67 #13

To

The Municipal Commr

Bangalore city

Subj - petition received from Mrs Doddamma Samsa- re cancellation of the acquisition proceedings in respect of Door No 46 4th Cross - Sreenivasa Mandiram Road - Bglre

Sir

In my limd reference to this office letter no L 320 dt 5-7-66, I am directed to request that the sketch of the area called for therein may be forwarded very early

Yours faithfully

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

14

No L1 C 1571/43

Encl 2 plans & a valuation statement

MUNICIPAL OFFICES
BANGALORE CITY

Dated 6th Oct 1947

FROM

SAIYI DARASHAH, Esq B A
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE CITY



To

Syed Abdul Aleem, Esq , B A ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore



Subject - Petition received from Mr Doddamunisamisa regarding cancellation of the acquisition proceedings in respect of Door Nos 46, 47 & 48, Sreenivasamandiram Road, II Division, Bangalore City

---

With reference to your letter No L 320/46 dated 5th July 1946 and subsequent reminder No L 17469 dated 26-6-1947 on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith a sketch showing the position of the properties of the petitioner and of Mr H C Suryanarayana Rao and the area proposed to be accuired from the former for favour of kind reference as desired

2 The old plan prepared in the year 1944 is also enclosed herewith

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

hsr/-

Proceedings of the meeting of the Bangalore City Municipal Council dated, 15-6-1944

Sub 82 Opinion of the City Improvement Committee dated 3rd June 1944 approving the plan and valuation statement for Rs 634/- for acquisition of door Nos 46, 47 and 48 in Srinivasa Mandiram Road belonging to Mr Muniswami Sa for widening the road

Resolution - Opinion of the City Improvement Committee is approved

Sd/- C N Narasinga Rao,
Honorary President

"Copy"

Municipal Commissioner

16

S D Narayanasa

28 Basettypet,
Bangalore City
Date: 11-10-'47

To The Revenue Secretary
~~Hon'ble The Minister for Health and Education~~, to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
BANGALORE

Sir,

In inviting your kind reference to my application dated the 17th July 1947, in the matter of acquisition of - frontage of my premises Nos 46, 47 and 48, Balepet Main Road, second Division, Bangalore City, prayed for withdrawal of the acquisition, I respectfully beg to state that I have not been informed any thing from the authorities concerned as yet But from the sanction plan under License No 1611 dated the - 16th June 1947 by the Municipal Engineer, Bangalore City, to Mr H C Suryanarayana Rao, owner of No 45, I observe that no setback was ordered Hence there is no justification in acquiring my only one land for any improvement proposed by the above said Mr H C Suryanarayana Rao, for special interest of his own, may kindly be ordered to be dropped, as there is no such question either behind or further row of buildings from my premises

Thanking you

Yours faithfully,
S D Narayanasa
Signature
11 10 47

Appendix F G. 11 No 4

SUBJECT Representation of Mr Doddamunisyamiah protesting against the acquisition of a portion of his premises bng No 46, 47 & 48 of Srikamamandiram Road in Bangalore City

Diary No of letter replied to 1985

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 19

Date of issue 30 3 48

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section

File No

Serial No

No L 1549

RHP

To

The Municipal Commissioner

Bangalore City Municipality

Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to the Correspondence ending with your letter No L I C 15 71/43 dated the 6th October 1947 on the above subject, I am directed to state that in your letter dated the 4th May 1946, it was suggested that, for the present, only the bank and the land on which bunk stands be acquired

WD 06865—GPI —8 000—9-8-47

-and that the acquisition of the prad
-in- Nos 46, 47 and 48 be deferred
I am to point out that this is
not in accordance with the resolution
of the Bangalore City Municipal Council
dated the 15th June 1944 The papers
relating to the acquisition of this property
are therefore herewith returned
for obtaining the opinion of the
Municipal Council on your suggestion
referred to above
2 I am also to add, in this connection,
that the acquisition of this bunk only in
this part of the road, when the remaining
parts are equally narrow, may give rise to
an impression that the acquisition is
being proceeded with to oblige an
individual Whether it would not be possible
to avoid such an impression being created, may also be considered
and reported

I have Etc

15/3/48
for Secretary to Govt
Revenue Dept

For
12-3-48

19

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT 6 AUG 1948 1106 MUNICIPAL BRANCH

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

No Ll C 1571/43

Encl Two

MUNICIPAL OFFICES
BANGALORE CITY

Dated 29th July 1948

FROM

A S Khaleel, Esq , B A , B L
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE CITY ,

To

Syed Abdul Aleem, Esq , B A ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

SIR

Subject - Representation of Sri Doddamunisamisa protesting against the acquisition of a portion of his premises Nos 46-47 & 48 of Srinivasa Mandiram Road, Bangalore City

---

With reference to your letter No L 15149 dated 30-3-1948 and subsequent reminder No L 691 dated 19-7-1948 on the above subject I have the honour to state that the matter was placed before the City Improvement Committee held on 7-5-1948 for reconsideration wherein they resolved that the protruding portion of 5 feet of the bunk be pushed back by 2 feet so as to be in one continuous width of 3 feet and the acquisition proceedings be dropped and that set back should be attended to within 7 days time and otherwise acquisition would proceeded with This resolution was approved by the Municipal Council held on 31st May 1948 Copies of the said resolution are herein enclosed for favour of kind reference

2 Accordingly the petitioner has been issued an endorsement in this office No Ll C 1571/43-44 dated 15-6-1948 for further needful action

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments

Honorary President.

hsr/-

Proceedings of the meeting of the Bangalore City Municipal Council dated 31-5-1948

---

101 Opinion of the City Improvement Committee dated 7-5-1948 that the protruding portion of 5 feet of the Bunk of Mr Doddamunisami Sa in Srinivasamandiram Road be pushed back by two feet so as to be in one continuous width of 3' and the acquisition proceedings be dropped, and if the set back is not done within 7 days time the acquisition may be proceeded with

Resolution - Approved

Sd K Shamaraja Iyengar,
Honorary President

"Copy"

Municipal Commissioner

Proceedings of the meeting of the City Improvement Committee dated 7-5-1948

---

9 Letter No L 15149/R & P dated 30-3-48 from the Revenue Secretary to Government re the protest of Mr Dodda Muniswamy Sa against the acquisition of a portion of his premises No 46, 47 and 48 of Srinivasa Mandiram Road, Bangalore City

---

Resolution The protruding portion of 5 feet of the bunk be pushed back by 2 feet so as to be in one continuous width of 3 feet and the acquisition be dropped The set back should be attended to within 7 days time otherwise acquisition will proceed

Sd K Shamaraja Iyengar,
Chairman

"Copy"

Municipal Commissioner

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

Mnl. 139-48-22

No L1 C 1571/43

MUNICIPAL OFFICE, BANGALORE CITY — 7411 — 1948 — MUNICIPAL BRANCH

Encl one withdrawal Notification *Dated* 24th March 1948

FROM

A S KHAIREI BA BL
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE CITY

To

Janab MIR SAFDAR HUSSAIN B A
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General Department,
BANGALORE

SIR,

Subject - REPRESENTATION OF SRI DODDAMUNISWAMYSA PROTESTING AGAINST THE ACQUISITION OF A PORTION OF HIS PREMISES NOS 46-47 & 48 OF SRINIVASA MUDIRA ROAD IIND DIVISION BANGALORE CITY

With reference to correspondence ending with this office letter No L1 C 1571/43 dated 4-8-48 addressed to the Revenue Secretary to Government on the above subject, I have the honour to state that the owner of the Property Sri S D Narayanasa S/o Late Sri Dodda Muniswamysa has set-back the portion of the properties as per condition of the resolution of the Municipal Council dated 31st May 1948 No further action is necessary in this case

I request you to kindly obtain orders of Government for dropping of the acquisition proceedings published in the Notification No L 12150-M1 22-44-95 dated 16th June 1945 and to arrange to publish the enclosed withdrawal Notification in the Gazette

Yours faithfully

MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER

Forwarded with compliments

HONORARY PRESIDENT

rs/

Ref. Dept. 4-5-49

Mnd. 139-48-23

City Municipal Offices,
BANGALORE
Dated 24th March 1949

DRAFT WITHDRAWAL NOTIFICATION

Under Section 48 (1) of the Mysore Land Acquisition Act 1894, as amended from time to time, Government are pleased to withdraw the acquisition of portion of Door Nos. 46-47 and 48 Sreenivasa Mandiram Road IInd Division, Bangalore City required for widening the Sreenivasa Mandiram Road as published in Notification No L 12150-Ml 22-44-95 dated 16th June 1948

Ref L

By Order

Secretary

To

The Municipal Commissioner

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette for publication in Part III of the Gazette with a request to send 15 copies of the notification to the M.C. Bglore City

D12-754/Re— dt 4-5-49

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Municipal Commr. Bglore City for information with reference to his letter No L1C15-71/43 dated the 4th March 1949

By Order

for Secy to Govt

General Dept.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

No L.12456 | Cell 139-48-23 General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated Bangalore 4-5- ..1949

~~WITHDRAWAL~~ NOTIFICATION

Under Section 48(1) of the Mysore Land Acquisition Act 1894, as amended from time to time, Government are pleased to withdraw the acquisition of portion of Door Nos 46-47 and 48 Sreenivasa Mandiram Road, IInd Division, Bangalore City required for widening the Sreenivasa Mandiram Road as published in Notification No L 12150-ML-22-44-95 dated 16th June 1948

By Order,

Secretary to Government,
General Department

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette for publication in part III-I of the Gazette with a request to send 15 spare copies of the Notification to the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE 24

No 12756/HL39-48-23 General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated Bangalore 4-5-48 *1948*

NOTIFICATION

Under Section 43(1) of the Mysore Land Acquisition Act, 1894, as amended from time to time, Government are pleased to withdraw the acquisition of portion of Door Nos 46-47 and 48 Sreenivasa Mandiram Road, IInd Division, Bangalore City required for widening the Sreenivasa Mandiram Road as published in Notification No L.12150-HL-22-44-95 dated 16th June 1948.

By Order,

Sd Mir Safdar Hussain

Secretary to Government,
General Department.

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette for publication in part III-I of the Gazette with a request to send 15 spare copies of the Notification to the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City.

P T O

L12-757/Re- dated 4-5-49

-2-

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City, for information with reference to his letter No. L1.C.1571/43 dated the 24th March 1949

By Order,

For Secretary to Government,
General Department

G R S 58]

Previous references 1924-25- (G H)

File No 33-24

Serial Nos 3678

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

Part B for February 25-

SUBJECT

Grant of Advance to the B I Railway Co

AC 36(a) To the Comptr

No FC 4458 of 5-2-25

Sanctioning an advance of Rs 3000/

To the Railway Co